## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/646,553	GILLET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Catherine Simone	1794	

	Catherine Simone	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater thán SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cort</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	cplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	stice of Appeal will not	be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Attachment.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794				